## California Department of Developmental Services Regional Center Oversight Dashboard

2016 Regional Center of Orange County Performance Data

## Where consumers live

Developmental Center population has decreased as consumers move into the community. Regional Centers coordinate services and supports for consumers as they transition from developmental centers to community settings.

Graph showing the percentage of caseload in a developmental center

```
2004
           1.43%
  2005
           1.32%
  2006
           1.20%
  2007
           1.02%
  2008
           0.94%
  2009
           0.84%
  2010
           0.77%
  2011
           0.68%
  2012
           0.62%
  2013
           0.53%
  2014
           0.46%
  2015
           0.41%
  2016
           0.36%
Percentage of Adults that Live in Home Settings
```

2013	73%
2014	74%
2015	76%
2016	76%

Home settings is defined as independent living, supported living, adult family home agency homes and family homes.

Consumers not included in the categories above are living in other residential models.

Over the last four years Regional Center of Orange County has reported that between three and four percent of adults live in facilities with more than 6 beds.

For the last four years RCOC reported that 99% of minors that live with Families and around .05% live in facilities.

In 2016 Regional Center of Orange County had an unqualified independent audit completed, operated within budget, participated in the federal waiver, and were substantially compliant with the DDS fiscal audit.

## Regional Center of Orange County Adherence with DDS Compliance Standards

Client Development Evaluation Report and Early Start Report are Updated as Required

2014 96.63%

2015 96.45%

2016 96.00%

Intake/Assessment timelines for consumers age 3 or older met

2014 99.49%

2015 100.00%

2016 99.48%

Individual program plan requirements met

2014 99.27%

2015 N/A

2016 99.34%

Individualized family service plan requirements met

2014 71.64%

2015 69.19%

2016 96.60%

Regional Center of Orange County met all of the audit vendor requirements in 2016.

Note: N/A indicates that data was not available for that year.